

**Summary of Comments and/or Clarification from Prospective Bidders  
With Responses from the SBAC TWG for Bid Bulletin 3**

Reference Document and Original Provision	Comment/Clarification/Requested Revision	Response/Action
<b><i>From FirstGen</i></b>		
1. ITB-12.Class "A" Documents  (ii.9) end user's acceptance or official receipt(s) or sales invoice issued for the contract if completed, which shall be attached to the statements.	Generators do not require an <i>end users acceptance</i> from their customers for the receipt of power. Once the bill is issued and the Customer pays the bill, that is already considered accepted. May we clarify if this item still apply?	In the point of view of the Government of the Philippines, such requirement is necessary to ensure that a "satisfactory" service was rendered by the Bidder in their past projects. While the SBAC still considers the said document as necessary and requests the Bidders to prepare the said document, <u>the inclusion of the document in the requirement will be subject to the deliberation and decision of the SBAC.</u> Any decision will be made in relation to this inquiry will be posted in the next Supplemental Bid Bulletin.
2. Evaluation of Bids  1.3 The Winning Bidder shall submit their acknowledgment of the receipt of the Notice of Award to the SBAC and pay the Winning Bidder's Fee.	May we clarify that we can submit a Standby Letter of Credit as Winning Bidder's Fee. If ever, may we know the expiration of the SBLC and the terms?	In relation to this inquiry, Bidders are hereby requested to refer to <u>Item 33, entitled Performance Security, of the Instruction to Bidders.</u>
3. Technical and Financial Proposal  a. Price Components d. Price Indexation	We would like to request that the price components for non-fuel variable costs, such as variable operation and maintenance costs indexed to a basket of price indices (other than Philippine CPI and US CPI), and un-indexed non-fuel variable costs, such as administration fees, be retained as part of the evaluation methodology.	In view of the conflicting responses from GenCos or Bidders with regards to this item, a final decision will be issued by the SBAC on July 12 during the Workshop with the TWG and through a Supplemental Bid Bulletin.
4. Annex B – Checklist	We would like to confirm if the bid documents to be submitted should be original documents or certified true copies are acceptable.	Bidders may submit certified true copies (CTCs) of supporting documents or requirements, but accomplished forms must be submitted in original copy.

<p>5. Computation of Net Financial Contracting Capacity (NFCC)</p> <p>Section 23.5.1.4 provides that if the prospective bidder submits a computation of its NFCC, the NFCC must be at least equal to the ABC based on the given computation: NFCC = [(Current assets minus current liabilities) (15)] minus the value of all outstanding or uncompleted portions of the projects under ongoing contracts, including awarded contracts yet to be started coinciding with the contract to be bid.</p>	<p>Do the Generator's ongoing and future contracts have to be deducted from the assets considering that the money is going to the Generator?</p>	<p>Subject for the discussion and decision of the SBAC. Decision shall be issued on the succeeding Supplemental Bid Bulletin.</p>
<p>6. 4.d. Capacity Utilization Factor.</p> <p>The Capacity Utilization Factor (CUF) shall be computed as follows:</p>	<p>The formula does not seem to account the FM on the nomination, may we suggest the formula be revised as follows:</p> $CUF = \frac{Q_{normal} + Q_{FM}}{[CC \times (H_T - E_{H_F} - E_{H_T})] + Q_{FM}} \times 100\%$ <p>where,  Q<sub>normal</sub> = Energy delivered by the SELLER for the Billing Period during normal days, in kWh;  Q<sub>FM</sub> = Energy delivered by the SELLER for the Billing Period during events of Force Majeure, in kWh;  CC = Contracted Capacity in kW;  HT = Total number of hours in Billing Period;</p>	<p>Subject for the discussion and decision of the SBAC. Decision shall be issued on the succeeding Supplemental Bid Bulletin.</p>

	<p>EHF = The sum of the duration, in Equivalent Hours, of Events of Force Majeure</p> <p>EHT = The sum of the duration, in Equivalent Hours, of Events of Transmission Line Failure.</p>	
7.	May we confirm if the Minimum Take or Pay of UPLB or total nomination for the month is 65%, same as the price table.	UPLB assures GenCos a monthly CUF of 65%.
<b><i>From SMC Global</i></b>		
1. SF-Goods-13B	<p>Regarding the form SF-Goods-13B, it was discussed that bidders should give the first page and annex of the contract with our customers. I had a meeting with the Management and they disagree on giving out these info.</p> <p>What can be the alternative for this?</p>	Subject for the discussion and decision of the SBAC. Decision shall be issued on the succeeding Supplemental Bid Bulletin.
2. SF-Goods-13B	Also for SF-Goods-13b, can we give expired contracts of our Distribution Utilities customers?	Item 13B requires the list of <u>completed contracts</u> similar in nature to this procurement. Should these “expired” contracts refer to contracts completed by the GenCo in the past, they may be included in the list.
3.	Do we need to give a specific plant or will the list of customers will be enough for this?	A list of customers will be enough in the list that will be provided in SF-Goods-13B.
4. Technical and Financial Proposal a. Price Components d. Price Indexation	Our management agrees to remove Variable Cost 3 & 4.	In view of the conflicting responses from GenCos or Bidders with regards to this item, a final decision will be issued by the SBAC on July 12 during the Workshop with the TWG and through a Supplemental Bid Bulletin.
5. Technical and Financial Proposal a. Price Components	What if for other RES - iba yung type of fuel nila? But UPLB decided to go with Global Coal.	Subject for the discussion and decision of the SBAC. Decision shall be issued on the succeeding Supplemental Bid Bulletin.
6. 4.4 Evaluation Framework	Is your 3.8/kwh inclusive of tax? Just to clarify, our offer won't have tax yet right?	The Base Price of <u>3.8 Php/kWh</u> is tax inclusive. The offered price of GenCos are subject to Evaluation Framework 4.4 Price After Tax.

7.	What is the purpose of getting the historical outages of the powerplant if guaranteed supply?	UPLB, as a government institution, requires such data for the purpose of ensuring that the Bidder shall be of faithful performance once it is awarded with the contract and that it has the ability to perform its duties and obligations once awarded with the contract. The data of historical outages will also serve as UPLB's proof to auditing entities such as COA that UPLB entered into a contract with a GenCo that has a reputable record on outages, thus procuring at a manner that is most advantageous to the GOP.
8.	When can we send the letter of intent to have a clarificatory workshop with UPLB? And to whom shall we address it to?	The clarificatory workshop will be held on <b>Wednesday, July 12, 9:00AM at the Executive Conference Room, 3F Main Library Building, UPLB.</b>
<b><i>From Masinloc Power Partners Co. Ltd.</i></b>		
1.	What is the index value for ?	Please provide further details for the inquiry.
2.	Base Prices are already subject to a <sub>local</sub> , why are the total gencost and total energy also subject to it?	The Main objective is to levelized the cost. However, the subject is still subject to the discussion of the SBAC. Decision shall be issued on the succeeding Supplemental Bid Bulletin.
3.	Bid Data Sheet to supersede the Philippine Bidding Document.	The Bid Data Sheet shall supersede all contents of the Philippine Bidding Document.
4.	Is CIF inclusive in VC2 or pass-thru?	Please refer to the new version of the Technical Bid Form. CIF is already removed in the new TBF.
<b><i>From Phinma Energy</i></b>		
1. Standard Form Number: SF-GOOD-13a	<input type="checkbox"/> As per discussion during the second pre-bid please amend this requirement.  Below is the suggested amendment:  List of Ongoing Government & Private Contracts with at least five (5) customers/buyers having an average demand of four (4) MW for the last two (2)	Noted as discussed in the 2 <sup>nd</sup> Pre-Bid Conference. Please refer to the Updated PBD and Evaluation Framework for the amendments.

	<p>years, including contracts awarded but not yet started</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Please indicate that the supporting documents (i.e. Notice of Award / or Contract) may only be the first page and the signatory/ notary page</p>	
2. Standard Form Number: SF-GOOD-13a	<p><input type="checkbox"/> As per discussion during the second pre-bid please amend this requirement.</p> <p>Below is the suggested amendment:</p> <p>Statement of Government &amp; Private Contracts completed which are similar in nature with at least five (5) customers/buyers having an average demand of four (4) MW for the last two (2) years.</p> <p>Please indicate that the supporting documents (e.g. Notice of Award / or Contract) may only be the first page and the signatory / notary page</p>	Noted as discussed in the 2 <sup>nd</sup> Pre-Bid Conference. Please refer to the Updated PBD and Evaluation Framework for the amendments.
3.BDS 5.1	Please include the Licensed Retail Electricity Supplier as one of the eligible entities to supply.	Noted as discussed in the 2 <sup>nd</sup> Pre-Bid Conference. Please refer to the Updated PBD and Evaluation Framework for the amendments
4.BDS 12.1 (a)	Please include required credentials for a Retail Electricity Supplier to be able to become eligible.	Noted as discussed in the 2 <sup>nd</sup> Pre-Bid Conference. Please refer to the Updated PBD and Evaluation Framework for the amendments
5. 12.1(b) Item no. 1	<p>Since for this transaction the Supplier is requested to cover the Replacement Power at contract price, may we request the removal of this requirement? Also, based on our previous requests, NGCP has declined issuing the said certificate.</p> <p>Furthermore, the certification request is outside the authority of the RES, for NGCP to recognize such request it should be the GenCo who shall procure such document</p>	Subject for the discussion and decision of the SBAC. Decision shall be issued on the succeeding Supplemental Bid Bulletin.

<p>6. 12.1(b) Item no. 2</p>	<p>As per discussion during the second pre-bid please amend this requirement.</p> <p>Below is the suggested amendment:</p> <p>Statement of customers/buyers (DUs, Retail Electricity Suppliers (RESs), and Contestable Customers) with at least five (5) customers/buyers having an average demand of four (4) MW for the last two (2) years.</p>	<p>Noted as discussed in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Pre-Bid Conference. Please refer to the Updated PBD and Evaluation Framework for the amendments</p>
<p>7. Technical Bid Form</p>	<p>1. May we request that a Retail Electricity Supplier, sourcing from the WESM, be allowed to craft a pricing offer that may be indexed to the various economic and fuel indices provided in the bid docs.</p> <p>2. Since UPLB intends to be a Direct WESM member, in situations where a RES will source from the WESM, may we request that a RES should nominate a generator node, as a reference, for Bilateral Contract Quantities (supply) corresponding to the nominations of UPLB.</p> <p>3. May we request that the Bid Form be crafted in such a way that the pricing components already represent the base rates for the entire contract duration? The bid form is currently crafted such that there are five (5) sets of base inputs for each period (i.e. November 26, 2017-December 25, 2018; December 26, 2018-December 25, 2019; December 26, 2019-December 25, 2020; December 26, 2020-December 25, 2021; and December 26, 2021-December 25, 2022)</p> <p>4. Up to how many decimal places is required for each numeric input?</p> <p>5. May we request for a</p>	<p>1. Please refer to the new version of the Technical Bid Form for this item.</p> <p>2. UPLB is already a Direct Member of the WESM. Please refer to the new version of the Technical Bid Form for this item.</p> <p>3. Please refer to the new version of the Technical Bid Form for this item.</p> <p>4. The clarificatory workshop will be held on <b>Wednesday, July 12, 9:00AM at the Executive Conference Room, 3F Main Library Building, UPLB.</b></p>

	workshop to verify and to fully understand the computations needed in the evaluation process.	
	Since, dispatch will be from 0 to 4MW, may we request the removal of this item.	Please refer to the new version of the Technical Bid Form for this item. This item is already removed.
	Since the minimum hourly nomination of UPLB is zero, may we request the removal of Pmin in the form?	Please refer to the new version of the Technical Bid Form for this item. This item is already removed.
	If the idea is for UPLB only to post security deposit only after a default payment, may we request the removal of this from the bid form and include it in the special terms of the contract.	Subject for the discussion and decision of the SBAC. Decision shall be issued on the succeeding Supplemental Bid Bulletin.